FY 2022 Annual Contract Evaluation and Recommendation for Continuation Funding

Agency:	Program:	
Contract Manager:	Contract is a Lead Agency with Collaborative Subcontractors Yes	☐ No

Contract Manager:		Cont	ract is	a Lead	Ageno	ey with	Collal	borativ	e Subc	contrac	tors	Yes	No	
Contract Activities	Comments/I	Explan	ations	shoul	d refle	ct best	pract	ices ar	ıd plar	ns to in	nprove	Max Pts	Pts Awarded	Rater Initials
	Performance Service Level 95%-100% of target 93%-94% of target 91%-92% of target 89%-90% of target 87%-88% of target 85%-86% of					ne is sc		eparate				Max Pts 12	Pts Awarded Prelim (Prorated Q1-Q3) = Final (Q1-Q4) =	Rater Initials
	Below 85% of target Enter Commo	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			

FY 2022 Annual Contract Evaluation and Recommendation for Continuation Funding

Contract Activities												Max	Pts	Rater
	Comments/Explanations should reflect best practices an								d plan	s to in	nprove	Pts	Awarded	Initials
2. Outcomes or Contract Deliverables:	Performance	on eac	h conti	racted (outcon			eparate	ly and	summ	ed.	26	Final (Q1-Q4)	
(% of contracted outcome results	Service Level					# of Ou	tcomes						=	
achieved)		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10		_	
Number of outcomes used to score:	95%-100% of target	26	13	8.67	6.5	5.2	4.33	3.71	3.25	2.89	2.6			
	93%-94% of target	23.4	11.7	7.8	5.85	4.68	3.9	3.34	2.93	2.6	2.34			
Check here if baseline data	92% of target	20.8	10.4	6.93	5.2	4.16	3.47	2.97	2.6	2.31	2.08			
	91% of target	18.2	9.1	6.07	4.55	4.55	3.03	2.6	2.28	2.02	1.82			
	90% of target	15.6	7.8	5.2	3.9	3.12	2.6	2.23	1.95	1.73	1.56			
	89% of target	13	6.5	4.33	3.25	2.6	2.17	1.86	1.63	1.44	1.3			
	88% of target	10.4	5.2	3.47	2.6	2.08	1.73	1.49	1.3	1.16	1.04			
	87% of target	7.8	3.9	2.6	1.95	1.56	1.3	1.11	0.98	0.87	0.78			
	86% of target	5.2	2.6	1.73	1.3	1.04	0.87	0.74	0.65	0.58	0.52			
	85% of target	2.6	1.3	0.87	0.65	0.52	0.43	0.37	0.33	0.29	0.26			
	Below 85% of target	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			

FY 2022 Annual Contract Evaluation and Recommendation for Continuation Funding

Contract Activities		Max	Pts	Rater
	Comments/Explanations should reflect best practices and plans to improve	Pts	Awarded	Initials
3. Data Integrity Check Check here if data was corrected Date:	Check One: (Accuracy of Sample = # Correct Data Elements/Total # Data Elements) 95% - 100% accuracy (12 points) 90% -94% accuracy (8 points) Less than 90% accuracy (0 points) Score 1 point for each: Administration of all measures follows measurement tool protocol/guidelines or program evaluation procedures (1 point) Completed measures contain all necessary information for participant identification (1 point) Completed measures contain all necessary information including documentation for accurate scoring (1 point)	15	Awarucu	Initials
4. Fiscal Reporting and Reimbursements (through Q3): (Deduct from total points allowable the % of those reimbursement forms and budget to actual reports received without accurate information and budget to actual reports not received on time by total number of reports received).	Enter Comments Here: Reimbursement requests contain accurate information. (0, 1, 2 or 3 points) Budget to actual reports were received by the deadline. (0 or 1 point) Budget to actual reports contain accurate information. (0 or 1 point) N/A Next FY budget was submitted for contract by deadline. (0, 1 or 2 points) N/A Next FY budget submitted in accordance with instructions and without major revisions. (0, 1 or 2 points) Enter Comments Here: N/A – Contract ended 9/30/2022	5		
5. Compliance with General Terms and Conditions (activities through July 31st):	Evidence of a sustainability or strategic action plan Next FY that outlines organizational goals which includes funding diversification monitoring guidelines. (1 point)CBHC prior approval for spending outside of the budget. (0, 1, or 2 points)CBHC obtained contract notifications or pre-approved contract changes. (0, 1, or 2 points)Most current agency audit submitted on time (1 point). Enter Comments Here:	6		

FY 2022 Annual Contract Evaluation and Recommendation for Continuation Funding

Contract Activities		Max	Pts	Rater
	Comments/Explanations should reflect best practices and plans to improve	Pts	Awarded	Initials
6. The provider submits reimbursement requests on a monthly basis and in a timely fashion. (activities through July 31st):**	The provider is consistently more than one month behind in submitting reimbursement requests. (0 points) or The provider consistently submits a reimbursement request for a given month by the last day of the following month. (1 point) or The provider consistently submits a reimbursement request for a given month by the 15 th day of the following month. (2 points) Enter Comments Here:	2		

FY 2022 Annual Contract Evaluation and Recommendation for Continuation Funding

Part II

Score Summary	Additional Comments	Max	Pts	Rater
		Pts	Awarded	Initials
Preliminary Points Part II	Special Note: Omit Section 2 when scoring.	40		
	Enter Additional Comments Here: Contract ended 9/30/2022.			
Total Score from Part I	Part I Score	30		
Total Preliminary Score	Part I + Preliminary Part II Scores based on maximum score of 70	70		
Final Points Part II	Enter Additional Comments Here: Scores based on Max Pts of 66	66		
Total Final Score	Part I + Part II Scores based on maximum score of 96	96		

^{**} FY2022 Annual Contract Evaluation and Recommendation tool has been modified from previous years:

Scoring for Section 6 is on consistency and timeliness of reimbursement requests (previously funding diversification). No change in total points in this section.

Preliminary Recommendation (points adjusted to reflect maximum score of 70 points) Continue funding effective October 1 (Total score result is 60 points or higher) Continue funding effective October 1 with a contract modification (s) as necessary (Total score is between 50 and 59 points) Continue funding effective October 1 with a documented Provider Improvement Plan (Total score is less than 49 points)
Final Recommendation (points adjusted to reflect maximum score of 96 points) Continue funding effective October 1 (Total score result is 81 points or higher) Continue funding effective October 1 with a contract modification (s) as necessary (Total score is between 66 and 80 points) Continue funding effective October 1 with a documented Provider Improvement Plan (Total score is less than 66 points) Other Determination

FY 2022 Annual Contract Evaluation and Recommendation for Continuation Funding

NOT SCORED CBHC Review by 11/15	Comments/Explanations should reflect best practices and plans to improve
7. Agency Audit (for direct contracts and lead agencies only)	Check one (If opinion status not selected, comments required): Unmodified opinion with no comments or findings Unmodified opinion with comments Unmodified opinion with findings Qualified opinion Enter Comments Here:
8. ASO Provider Monitoring Check here if not applicable	Indicate "yes" or "no" for each item: Adherence to ASO Policies and Procedures Service or support tied back to a family support plan. Indicate % Documentation found in client file to substantiate ASO expenditures Funds returned if any. Indicate \$ amount If any of these objectives were not met please briefly describe: Enter Comments Here:
9. Provider Improvement Plan	Check one: Not Applicable Completed Successfully Not Completed Successfully In Progress (continuing into next fiscal year)
Continue funding effective Octol Continue funding effective Octol	Timprovement Plan results change continuation funding recommendation? Yes No ber 1 ber 1 with a contract modification (s) as necessary ber 1 with a Provider Improvement Plan

FY 2022 Annual Contract Evaluation and Recommendation for Continuation Funding

Part II Projected Funding Allocation

FY 2021 Contract Amount: \$	ASO Allocation: \$	
FY 2022 Continuation Contract Amount: \$	ASO Allocation: \$	
Explain any amount difference including impact to program	n or if contract is ending (i.e. changes to s	ervice levels, outcomes and/or ASO allocations)
Enter Comments Here:		
Type of Contract: Investment (more than \$600,000)	☐ Uniting (\$75,000 – \$599,999)	Leading (\$5,000 - \$74,999)
Contract Manager Signature and Date:		
Director of Finance Signature and Date:		
Director of Programs Signature and Date:		

FY 2022 Annual Contract Evaluation and Recommendation for Continuation Funding

Callahanatir	Coope O on 1 for each. Dragnammetic.	Max	Pts	Rater
Collaborative functioning / Lead	Score 0 or 1 for each: Programmatic: Cooperation – Evidence that partnership improves service delivery.	10		
Agent Compliance:	Enter Example Here:		Awarded	Initia
rigent compilative.	Coordination – Combined resources to maximize operational efficiencies.			
	Enter Example Here:			
Check if not applicable	•			
	Collaboration – Collectively applying a pool of seamless resources to meet family outcomes (including but not limited to collaborative staff training).			
	Enter Example Here:			
	•			
	Sharing staff across partnership to meet program needs and goals.			
	Enter Example Here: Subscript actor personnel included in CRUC contract pagetictions			
	Subcontractor personnel included in CBHC contract negotiations.			
	Score 5 if yes for ALL items, 0 if not. Place "X" if done. Contractual:			
	Lead informs subcontractors of CBHC notifications, requests or meeting notices.			
	Lead agent and subcontractor representatives attend CBHC training and/or meetings.			
	Subcontract agreements were executed and included all applicable special conditions and CBHC General Terms and Conditions as an attachment.			
	Subcontract agreements submitted to CBHC within 30 days of contract execution.			
	Lead agent completed sub-contractor(s) fiscal site visits.			
Maintain Load Aganay St	Enter Comments Here: atus: YES NO if no, explain: Maintain All Sub-Contract Status: YES	NOifr	l no, explain:	
Maintain Lead Agency St	atus 1E3 NO II IIo, expiaiii. Maintain Ali Suo-Contract Status 1E5	J NO II I	io, expiaiii.	
Enter Comments Here:				
Compact 7 10 in diag	Ass assistanting			
Score of 7 -10 indica	indicates need to address areas of improvement with a collaborative action plan			
Score or o or below	indicates need to address areas of improvement with a condobrative action plan			