

**FY 2017 Annual Contract Evaluation and Recommendation for Continuation Funding**

Agency: \_\_\_\_\_

Program: \_\_\_\_\_

Contract Manager: \_\_\_\_\_

Contract is a Lead Agency with Collaborative Subcontractors  Yes  No

<b>Part I - Area Activities deadline by May 30</b>	<b>Comments/Explanations should reflect best practices and plans to improve</b>	<b>Max Pts</b>	<b>Pts Awarded</b>	<b>Rater Initials</b>
<p><b>1. Geographic Focus:</b> <i>(see map)</i></p>	<p><b><u>Check One:</u></b>                      ___ 95%-100% of program participants served reside in geographic focus area(s) specified in scope of services (3 points)                      ___ 85%-94% of program participants served reside in geographic focus area(s) specified in scope of services (2 points)                      ___ 75%-84% of program participants served reside in geographic focus area(s) specified in scope of services (1 point)                      ___ Below 75% of program participants served reside in geographic focus area(s) specified in scope of services (0 points)  <i>Enter Comments Here:</i></p>	<b>3</b>		
<p><b>2. Service Level Achievement:</b> <i>(# of participants served are in compliance with # contracted to serve)</i></p>	<p>___ Program service levels <b>on track</b> with expected rates of enrollment (3 points)                      or                      ___ Program service levels <b>below</b> expected rates of enrollment (1 or 0 points)  <i>Enter Comments Here:</i></p>	<b>3</b>		
<p><b>3. Demonstration of Community Engagement and/or Collaboration:</b></p>	<p>___ Program at least annually utilizes a customer service/satisfaction survey (1 point)                      ___ Participants engaged in program evaluation; staff hiring; decision making; or serve in an advisory capacity. (2 points)                      ___ Collaboration exists with <u>community</u> partners without formal subcontract agreements to improve service delivery for families. (1 point)  <i>Enter Example Here:</i></p>	<b>4</b>		
<p><b>4. Compliance with CBHC General Terms and Conditions.</b></p>	<p><b>Score 0 or 1 point for each:</b>                      1. ___ Timely notifications of Board member listing or staff changes (including E.D. or Board Chair)                      2. ___ Notification of loss of other Program funds and/or critical incidents.                      3. ___ Participation in 2-1-1                      4. ___ Submitted Disaster Plan                      5. ___ Maintains Insurance  <i>Enter Comments Here:</i></p>	<b>5</b>		

**FY 2017 Annual Contract Evaluation and Recommendation for Continuation Funding**

<b>Part I - Area Activities deadline by May 30</b>	<b>Comments/Explanations should reflect best practices and plans to improve</b>	<b>Max Pts</b>	<b>Pts Awarded</b>	<b>Rater Initials</b>
<p><b>5.</b> Demonstration of CBHC Branding or Marketing Materials Recognizing CBHC Funding Support:</p>	<p><b>Score 0 or 1 point for each:</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. ___ Acknowledges Children’s Board support on any agency and program materials, either electronic or print by publishing the Children’s Board logo with statements such as “these services are provided by funding from the Children’s Board”.</li> <li>2. ___ Publishes the Children’s Board logo on the Provider website home page.</li> <li>3. ___ Establishes a link to the Children’s Board website on the Provider website.</li> <li>4. ___ Displays the Children’s Board logo in Provider service locations and administrative offices.</li> <li>5. ___ Provides information about the Children’s Board each year to its employees and governing Board of Directors (evidence in minutes).</li> </ol> <p><i>Enter Comments Here:</i></p>	<b>5</b>		
<p><b>6.</b> Fiscal Review Site Visit</p>	<p><b>Score 0, 1 or 2 points for each:</b></p> <p>___ The Fiscal Review Site Visit questionnaire was completed prior to site visit and the provider was ready for the fiscal review when CBHC arrived.</p> <p>___ All expenses on the reimbursement request form tested were substantiated.</p> <p>___ There was evidence of a process to review expenditures included on reimbursement request prior to submission.</p> <p>___ Funds were spent according to the contract budget/narrative.</p> <p>___ There was evidence of how allocations were determined.</p> <p><i>Enter Comments Here:</i></p>	<b>10</b>		
<p><b>Total Points Part I</b></p>	<p><i>Enter Additional Comments Here:</i></p>	<b>30</b>		

***Initial Results for Continuation Funding:***

- \_\_\_ Progress to date indicates program in good standing (*Part I result is 27 – 30 Points*)
- \_\_\_ Progress to date indicates improvement may be needed (*Part I result is 22 - 26 Points*)
- \_\_\_ Progress to date indicates program may be at risk as a Program of Concern (*Part I result is less 22 Points*)

**End of Part I**

Date completed: \_\_\_\_\_ Contract Manager Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Director of Programs **and** Finance Initials: \_\_\_\_\_

FY 2017 Annual Contract Evaluation and Recommendation for Continuation Funding

Area – Part II Activities Deadline August 1	Comments/Explanations should reflect best practices and plans to improve	Max Pts	Pts Awarded	Rater Initials																																																																																																																																														
<p>7. Q1, Q2, Q3 Service Level Achievement:</p> <p><i>(# of participants served are in compliance with # contracted to serve)</i></p>	<p>Performance on each contracted outcome is scored separately and summed.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="609 298 1549 792"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2">Service Level</th> <th colspan="10"># of Outcomes</th> </tr> <tr> <th>1</th> <th>2</th> <th>3</th> <th>4</th> <th>5</th> <th>6</th> <th>7</th> <th>8</th> <th>9</th> <th>10</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>95%-100% of target</td> <td>12</td> <td>6</td> <td>4.0</td> <td>3.0</td> <td>2.4</td> <td>2.0</td> <td>1.7</td> <td>1.5</td> <td>1.3</td> <td>1.2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>93%-94% of target</td> <td>10</td> <td>5</td> <td>3.3</td> <td>2.5</td> <td>2.0</td> <td>1.7</td> <td>1.4</td> <td>1.3</td> <td>1.1</td> <td>1.0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>91%-92% of target</td> <td>8</td> <td>4</td> <td>2.7</td> <td>2.0</td> <td>1.6</td> <td>1.3</td> <td>1.1</td> <td>1.0</td> <td>0.9</td> <td>0.8</td> </tr> <tr> <td>89%-90% of target</td> <td>6</td> <td>3</td> <td>2.0</td> <td>1.5</td> <td>1.2</td> <td>1.0</td> <td>0.9</td> <td>0.8</td> <td>0.7</td> <td>0.6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>87%-88% of target</td> <td>4</td> <td>2</td> <td>1.3</td> <td>1.0</td> <td>0.8</td> <td>0.7</td> <td>0.6</td> <td>0.5</td> <td>0.4</td> <td>0.4</td> </tr> <tr> <td>85%-86% of target</td> <td>2</td> <td>1</td> <td>0.7</td> <td>.5</td> <td>0.4</td> <td>0.3</td> <td>0.3</td> <td>0.3</td> <td>0.2</td> <td>0.2</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Below 85% of target</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p><i>Enter Comments Here:</i></p>	Service Level	# of Outcomes										1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	95%-100% of target	12	6	4.0	3.0	2.4	2.0	1.7	1.5	1.3	1.2	93%-94% of target	10	5	3.3	2.5	2.0	1.7	1.4	1.3	1.1	1.0	91%-92% of target	8	4	2.7	2.0	1.6	1.3	1.1	1.0	0.9	0.8	89%-90% of target	6	3	2.0	1.5	1.2	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.6	87%-88% of target	4	2	1.3	1.0	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.4	85%-86% of target	2	1	0.7	.5	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	Below 85% of target	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12	<p>08/2017 (prelim)</p> <p>=</p> <p>11/2017 (final)</p> <p>=</p>																																													
Service Level	# of Outcomes																																																																																																																																																	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10																																																																																																																																								
95%-100% of target	12	6	4.0	3.0	2.4	2.0	1.7	1.5	1.3	1.2																																																																																																																																								
93%-94% of target	10	5	3.3	2.5	2.0	1.7	1.4	1.3	1.1	1.0																																																																																																																																								
91%-92% of target	8	4	2.7	2.0	1.6	1.3	1.1	1.0	0.9	0.8																																																																																																																																								
89%-90% of target	6	3	2.0	1.5	1.2	1.0	0.9	0.8	0.7	0.6																																																																																																																																								
87%-88% of target	4	2	1.3	1.0	0.8	0.7	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.4																																																																																																																																								
85%-86% of target	2	1	0.7	.5	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2																																																																																																																																								
Below 85% of target	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0																																																																																																																																								
<p>8. Q1, Q2, Q3 Outcomes or Contract Deliverables:</p> <p><i>(% of contracted outcome results achieved)</i></p> <p>Check here if baseline data <input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>Performance on each contracted outcome is scored separately and summed.</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="609 889 1549 1409"> <thead> <tr> <th rowspan="2">Outcome Level</th> <th colspan="10"># of Outcomes</th> </tr> <tr> <th>1</th> <th>2</th> <th>3</th> <th>4</th> <th>5</th> <th>6</th> <th>7</th> <th>8</th> <th>9</th> <th>10</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>95%-100% of target</td> <td>26</td> <td>13</td> <td>8.67</td> <td>6.5</td> <td>5.2</td> <td>4.33</td> <td>3.71</td> <td>3.25</td> <td>2.89</td> <td>2.6</td> </tr> <tr> <td>93%-94% of target</td> <td>23.4</td> <td>11.7</td> <td>7.8</td> <td>5.85</td> <td>4.68</td> <td>3.9</td> <td>3.34</td> <td>2.93</td> <td>2.6</td> <td>2.34</td> </tr> <tr> <td>92% of target</td> <td>20.8</td> <td>10.4</td> <td>6.93</td> <td>5.2</td> <td>4.16</td> <td>3.47</td> <td>2.97</td> <td>2.6</td> <td>2.31</td> <td>2.08</td> </tr> <tr> <td>91% of target</td> <td>18.2</td> <td>9.1</td> <td>6.07</td> <td>4.55</td> <td>4.55</td> <td>3.03</td> <td>2.6</td> <td>2.28</td> <td>2.02</td> <td>1.82</td> </tr> <tr> <td>90% of target</td> <td>15.6</td> <td>7.8</td> <td>5.2</td> <td>3.9</td> <td>3.12</td> <td>2.6</td> <td>2.23</td> <td>1.95</td> <td>1.73</td> <td>1.56</td> </tr> <tr> <td>89% of target</td> <td>13</td> <td>6.5</td> <td>4.33</td> <td>3.25</td> <td>2.6</td> <td>2.17</td> <td>1.86</td> <td>1.63</td> <td>1.44</td> <td>1.3</td> </tr> <tr> <td>88% of target</td> <td>10.4</td> <td>5.2</td> <td>3.47</td> <td>2.6</td> <td>2.08</td> <td>1.73</td> <td>1.49</td> <td>1.3</td> <td>1.16</td> <td>1.04</td> </tr> <tr> <td>87% of target</td> <td>7.8</td> <td>3.9</td> <td>2.6</td> <td>1.95</td> <td>1.56</td> <td>1.3</td> <td>1.11</td> <td>0.98</td> <td>0.87</td> <td>0.78</td> </tr> <tr> <td>86% of target</td> <td>5.2</td> <td>2.6</td> <td>1.73</td> <td>1.3</td> <td>1.04</td> <td>0.87</td> <td>0.74</td> <td>0.65</td> <td>0.58</td> <td>0.52</td> </tr> <tr> <td>85% of target</td> <td>2.6</td> <td>1.3</td> <td>0.87</td> <td>0.65</td> <td>0.52</td> <td>0.43</td> <td>0.37</td> <td>0.33</td> <td>0.29</td> <td>0.26</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Below 85% of target</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0.0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p><i>Enter Comments Here:</i></p>	Outcome Level	# of Outcomes										1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	95%-100% of target	26	13	8.67	6.5	5.2	4.33	3.71	3.25	2.89	2.6	93%-94% of target	23.4	11.7	7.8	5.85	4.68	3.9	3.34	2.93	2.6	2.34	92% of target	20.8	10.4	6.93	5.2	4.16	3.47	2.97	2.6	2.31	2.08	91% of target	18.2	9.1	6.07	4.55	4.55	3.03	2.6	2.28	2.02	1.82	90% of target	15.6	7.8	5.2	3.9	3.12	2.6	2.23	1.95	1.73	1.56	89% of target	13	6.5	4.33	3.25	2.6	2.17	1.86	1.63	1.44	1.3	88% of target	10.4	5.2	3.47	2.6	2.08	1.73	1.49	1.3	1.16	1.04	87% of target	7.8	3.9	2.6	1.95	1.56	1.3	1.11	0.98	0.87	0.78	86% of target	5.2	2.6	1.73	1.3	1.04	0.87	0.74	0.65	0.58	0.52	85% of target	2.6	1.3	0.87	0.65	0.52	0.43	0.37	0.33	0.29	0.26	Below 85% of target	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	26	<p>08/2017 (prelim)</p> <p>=</p> <p>11/2017 (final)</p> <p>=</p>	
Outcome Level	# of Outcomes																																																																																																																																																	
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10																																																																																																																																								
95%-100% of target	26	13	8.67	6.5	5.2	4.33	3.71	3.25	2.89	2.6																																																																																																																																								
93%-94% of target	23.4	11.7	7.8	5.85	4.68	3.9	3.34	2.93	2.6	2.34																																																																																																																																								
92% of target	20.8	10.4	6.93	5.2	4.16	3.47	2.97	2.6	2.31	2.08																																																																																																																																								
91% of target	18.2	9.1	6.07	4.55	4.55	3.03	2.6	2.28	2.02	1.82																																																																																																																																								
90% of target	15.6	7.8	5.2	3.9	3.12	2.6	2.23	1.95	1.73	1.56																																																																																																																																								
89% of target	13	6.5	4.33	3.25	2.6	2.17	1.86	1.63	1.44	1.3																																																																																																																																								
88% of target	10.4	5.2	3.47	2.6	2.08	1.73	1.49	1.3	1.16	1.04																																																																																																																																								
87% of target	7.8	3.9	2.6	1.95	1.56	1.3	1.11	0.98	0.87	0.78																																																																																																																																								
86% of target	5.2	2.6	1.73	1.3	1.04	0.87	0.74	0.65	0.58	0.52																																																																																																																																								
85% of target	2.6	1.3	0.87	0.65	0.52	0.43	0.37	0.33	0.29	0.26																																																																																																																																								
Below 85% of target	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0																																																																																																																																								

**FY 2017 Annual Contract Evaluation and Recommendation for Continuation Funding**

<b>Area – Part II Activities Deadline August 1</b>	<b>Comments/Explanations should reflect best practices and plans to improve</b>	<b>Max Pts</b>	<b>Pts Awarded</b>	<b>Rater Initials</b>
<p><b>9. Accuracy of Data Integrity Check:</b></p> <p>Check here if data was corrected <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Date:</p>	<p><b><u>Check One:</u></b></p> <p>___ 95% - 100% accuracy (15 points)</p> <p>___ 90% -94% accuracy (10 points)</p> <p>___ 85% -89% accuracy (5 points )</p> <p>___ Less than 85% accuracy (0 points)</p> <p><i>Enter Comments Here:</i></p>	<b>15</b>		
<p><b>10. Q1, Q2, Q3 Budget; Fiscal Reporting and Reimbursements</b> <i>(Deduct from total points allowable the % of those reimbursement forms and budget to actual reports received without accurate information and budget to actual reports not received on time by total number of reports received).</i></p>	<p>___ The FY <b>2017</b> budget was submitted for contract by deadline. 0, 1 or 2 points</p> <p>___ The FY <b>2017</b> budget submitted did not require major revisions. 0, 1, 2 or 3 points</p> <p>___ Reimbursement requests contain accurate information. 0, 1,2 or 3 points</p> <p>___ Budget to actual reports were received by the deadline. 0, 1 or 2 points</p> <p>___ Budget to actual reports contain accurate information. 0, 1 or 2 points</p> <p><i>Enter Comments Here:</i></p>	<b>12</b>		
<p><b>11. Compliance with General Terms and Conditions</b></p>	<p><b>Score 0 or 1 point for each item:</b></p> <p>___ Evidence of a sustainability or strategic action plan that outlines organizational goals which includes monitoring guidelines.</p> <p>___ CBHC prior approval obtained for budget / contract modifications.</p> <p>___ Most current agency audit submitted on time.</p> <p><i>Enter Comments Here:</i></p>	<b>3</b>		
<p><b>12. Revenue Maximization:</b></p>	<p><b>Score 0 or 2 points:</b></p> <p>___ <b>Program or Agency</b> applied for or secured new funding outside CBHC.</p> <p><i>Enter Comments Here:</i></p>	<b>2</b>		
<p><b>Total Preliminary Points Part II</b></p>	<p><i>Enter Additional Comments Here:</i></p>	<b>44</b>		
<p><b>Preliminary Score: Part I + II (Research complete by 8/30)</b></p>	<p><i>Use preliminary 8/2017 score from section 7</i></p>	<b>74</b>		
<p><b>Total Final Points Part II</b></p>	<p><i>Enter Additional Comments Here:</i></p>	<b>70</b>		
<p><b>Final Score (Research complete by 12/1)</b></p>	<p><i>Use final 12/2017 Score from sections 7 &amp; 8.</i></p>	<b>100</b>		

**FY 2017 Annual Contract Evaluation and Recommendation for Continuation Funding**

**\*Preliminary Recommendation**

- Continue funding effective October 1 (*Total score result is 64 points or higher*)
- Continue funding effective October 1 with a contract modification (s) as necessary (*Total score is between 53 and 63 points*)
- Continue funding effective October 1 with a documented Provider Improvement Plan (*Total score is less than 53 points*)

**\*Final Recommendation**

- Continue funding effective October 1 (*Total score result is 85 points or higher*)
- Continue funding effective October 1 with a contract modification (s) as necessary (*Total score is between 70 and 84 points*)
- Continue funding effective October 1 with a documented Provider Improvement Plan (*Total score is less than 70 points*)

**End of Part II**

Area – Part III NOT SCORED Activities Deadline by 11/15	Comments/Explanations should reflect best practices and plans to improve
13. Agency Audit ( <i>for direct contracts and lead agencies only</i> )	<p><b>Check one:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Unmodified opinion with no comments or findings</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Unmodified opinion with comments</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Unmodified opinion with findings</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Qualified opinion</li> </ul>
14. ASO Review  <i>Check here if not applicable</i> <input type="checkbox"/>	<p><b>Indicate “yes” or “no” for each item:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Adherence to ASO Policies and Procedures</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Service or support tied back to a family support plan. Indicate % _____</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Documentation found in client file to substantiate ASO expenditures</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Funds returned if any</li> </ul> <p>If any of these objectives were not met please briefly describe: <i>Enter Comments Here:</i></p>

**\*Did Agency Audit or ASO result change continuation funding recommendation?**  Yes  No

- Continue funding effective October 1
- Continue funding effective October 1 with a contract modification (s) as necessary
- Continue funding effective October 1 with a Provider Improvement Plan
- Other Determination

*Enter Comments Here:*

**FY 2017 Annual Contract Evaluation and Recommendation for Continuation Funding**

**Projected Funding Allocation**

Current FY 2017 Contract Amount: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

ASO Allocation: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

\*FY 2018 Continuation Contract Amount: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

ASO Allocation: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Explain any amount difference including impact to program or if contract is ending (i.e. changes to service levels, outcomes and/or ASO allocations)

*Enter Comments Here:*

**Type of Contract:**  Investment (more than 500K)     Uniting (75K – \$499,999)     Leading (5K - \$74,999)     Match

*Director of Programs Signature/Date:* \_\_\_\_\_

**\*For Leading and Uniting Grants:**

**FY 2018 funding is subject to Reapplication, a competitive review process and Board approval of recommended applicants.**

**FY 2017 Annual Contract Evaluation and Recommendation for Continuation Funding**

<b>Area – Part IV Activity Deadline by September 30</b>	<b>For Lead Agent with funded sub-contracts only (calculated separately from final score):</b>  <b>Comments/Explanations should reflect best practices and plans to improve</b>			
<p><b>15. Collaborative functioning / Lead Agent Compliance</b></p> <p><i>Check here if <b>not</b> applicable</i> <input type="checkbox"/></p>	<p><b>Score 0 or 1 for each: Programmatic:</b> ___</p> <p>___ Cooperation – Evidence that partnership improves service delivery. <i>Enter Example Here:</i></p> <p>___ Coordination – Combined resources to maximize operational efficiencies. <i>Enter Example Here:</i></p> <p>___ Collaboration – Collectively applying a pool of seamless resources to meet family outcomes (including but not limited to collaborative staff training). <i>Enter Example Here:</i></p> <p>___ Sharing staff across partnership to meet program needs and goals. <i>Enter Example Here:</i></p> <p>___ Subcontractor personnel included in CBHC contract negotiations.</p> <p><b>Score 5 if yes for ALL items, 0 if not. Place “X” if done. Contractual:</b> ___</p> <p>___ Lead agent notifies subcontractors of CBHC notifications, information requests, and/or meeting announcements.</p> <p>___ Lead agent and subcontractor representatives attend training and/or programmatic meetings held by CBHC.</p> <p>___ Subcontract agreements were executed and included all applicable special conditions and CBHC General Terms and Conditions as an attachment.</p> <p>___ Subcontract agreements were submitted to CBHC within 30 days of CBHC contract execution.</p> <p>___ Lead agent completed sub-contractor(s) fiscal site visits.</p> <p><i>Enter Comments Here:</i></p>	<p><b>Max 10</b></p>	<p><b>Pts Awarded</b></p>	<p><b>Rater Initial</b></p>

Maintain Lead Agency Status:  YES  NO

Maintain All Sub-Contract Status:  YES  NO

If no, for either item above explain reason for changes:

*Enter Comments Here:*

\_\_\_ Score of 7 -10 indicates good standing

\_\_\_ Score of 6 or below indicates need to address areas of improvement with a collaborative action plan