

Agency:	Program:
----------------	-----------------

TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE RATING SHEET — FY 2010

Rater # _____

1. Organizational Capability: The extent to which the Applicant has the organizational capacity to carry out objectives and activities described in the application and EE Matrix. This includes the abilities of the staff and/or the applicant's demonstrated ability to successfully implement (fiscal and programmatic) grants administered by the CBHC or other funders.

0 1 2 3 4

2. Viability: The extent to which the request will impact the organization's capacity to operate effectively over the long term.

0 1 2 3 4

3. Project Plan/EE Matrix: The extent to which the Applicant has a well-defined project and plan to achieve its desired goal(s) and outcome(s).

0 1 2 3 4

4. Strategic Alignment: The extent to which the applicant provides services and supports to the community that impact CBHC vision, mission and/or priority outcomes.

0 1 2 3 4

5. Age Focus: The extent to which the proposal impacts our focus of providing universal supports to young children (pregnant women & children through age eight 8) and their families.

- 0 - None of the children served or impacted are in our age focus
- 1 - Less than 50% of the children served or impacted are in our age focus
- 2 - 50% or more of the children served or impacted are in our age focus

6. Budget: The extent to which the budget is appropriate to carry out the objectives and activities described in the application.

0 1 2 3 4

7. Leveraging/in-kind: The extent to which the applicant uses CBHC funds to leverage other support (cash or in-kind) for the project or activity.

- 0 - CBHC is providing 100% of the funding for project or activity
- 1 - CBHC is providing 50% or more of the funding
- 2 - CBHC is providing 50% or less of the funding

8. Expertise: The extent to which the organization (or consultant/vendor) has the appropriate expertise for the identified project. For an organization, consider its internal capacity/ability to successfully carry out the proposed project. If a consultant/vendor is being proposed, consider the relevant educational, background and work experience, as well as fit, of the person to the proposed project/event being proposed.

0 1 2 3 4

Comments: Please provide positive and negative comments.

SCORING: TOTAL: _____

- 0 = Does not meet/address criteria
 - 1 = Meets/addresses criteria in a minimal way
 - 2 = Meets/addresses criteria in an average manner
 - 3 = Meets/addresses criteria in a very good manner
 - 4 = Meets criteria in a comprehensive manner, outstanding
- Maximum score = 28 Minimum rating of 20 to be considered for funding.