

Agency:	Program:
----------------	-----------------

MATCH FUND RATING SHEET — FY 2010

1. Organizational Capability: The extent to which the Applicant describes the agency's organizational capacity to carry out the objectives and activities described in the application and EE Matrix. This includes the applicant's demonstrated ability to successfully implement (fiscal & programmatic) grants administered by the CBHC or other funders.

0 1 2 3 4

2. Project Plan/EE Matrix: The extent to which the Applicant describes a well-defined plan to achieve the desired goal(s) and outcome(s). This includes the extent to which the project utilizes and/or promotes evidence-based and culturally competent practices.

0 1 2 3 4

3. Funding Prioritization: The extent to which the proposal meets the Children's Board prioritization for Match Funds.

- 4 Universal, prevention & early intervention services and supports to children pre-natal – age 8 and their families.
- 3 Universal, prevention & early Intervention services and supports to children pre-natal – age 18 and their families.
- 2 Intervention services and supports to children pre-natal – age 8 and their families.
- 1 Intervention services and supports to children pre-natal – age 18 and their families.

4. Strategic Alignment: The extent to which the proposal impacts vision, mission and priority outcomes of the Children's Board.

0 1 2 3 4

5. Collaboration: The extent to which the Applicant demonstrates that the community, including consumers or other impacted groups, has contributed to the planning for this project.

0 1 2 3 4

6. Budget: The extent to which the budget is appropriate to carry out the objectives and activities described in the application.

0 1 2 3 4

7. Return on Investment (ROI): The CBHC funds provided as match for this project represent:

- 4 25% or less of total project budget
- 3 50% or less of total project budget
- 2 75% or less of total project budget
- 1 More than 75% of total project budget

8. Measure Impact/Evaluation: The extent to which the project has an evaluation plan in place to measure the outcomes and impact of the project.

0 1 2 3 4

9. Sustainability Plan: The extent to which the Applicant has developed a viable plan for sustainability of the project beyond the current funding.

0 1 2 3 4

Comments (print):

SCORING: TOTAL: _____

0 = Does not meet/address criteria

1 = Meets/addresses criteria in a minimal way.

2 = Meets/addresses criteria in an average manner

3 = Meets/addresses criteria in a very good manner

4 = Meets criteria in a comprehensive manner, outstanding

Maximum points per rater = 36. A program/project must receive a minimum rating of **26** to be considered for funding.